

1 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
2 DISTRICT OF NEVADA

3 \* \* \*

4 SCOTT MICHAEL WHITT,  
5 Plaintiff,

Case No. 2:17-cv-00014-APG-NJK

6 v.

ORDER

7 RICHLAND HOLDINGS, INC. d/b/a  
8 ACCTCORP OF SOUTHERN NEVADA; RC  
9 WILLEY FINANCIAL SERVICES; and  
RANDALL CORPORATION d/b/a BOWEN  
LAW OFFICES,

10 Defendants.  
11

12 I recently ordered plaintiff Scott Whitt to show cause why his remaining claim against  
13 Randall Corporation d/b/a Bowen Law Offices (Bowen Law) should not been dismissed for  
14 failure to effectuate service. ECF No. 63. Whitt responded that the Marquis Aurbach Coffing law  
15 firm stated that it would represent Bowen Law in this case and it would file a response to the  
16 amended complaint on behalf of Bowen Law. ECF No. 64 at 2. Thus, I deem the Order to Show  
17 Cause satisfied and I will not dismiss the claim against Bowen Law. Marquis Aurbach agreed to  
18 file its response on behalf of Bowen Law "upon the court's acceptance of the" stipulation and  
19 order amending the caption. *Id.* at 10. I filed the order to amend the caption on April 19, but no  
20 response has been filed by Bowen Law.

21 IT IS ORDERED that on or before May 14, 2018, Randall Corporation d/b/a Bowen Law  
22 Offices shall file an answer or other response to the amended complaint.

23 DATED this 7th day of May, 2018.

24 

25 ANDREW P. GORDON  
26 UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE  
27  
28